

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/010,167	12/10/2001	Igor B. Roninson	93,354-SS	8397	
20306	7590 01/28/2004		EXAMINER		
	ELL BOEHNEN HUL	KETTER, JAMES S			
300 SOUTH SUITE 3200	WACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		1636		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
				EXAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				012004
			DATE MAILE	n.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

--See attached--

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/010,	67	RONINSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit	
		James S	S. Ketter	1636	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	I			
Period fo	• •				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on the may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication aperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, on period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory part to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no e on. a reply within the sta beriod will apply and v statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t plication to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat	tion.
Status	Deep and it is a superior to the state of th	00 0-1-1 00-			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3				
,	,	This action is n			
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	owance excep der <i>Ex parte Q</i>	t for formal matters, pros <i>uavle</i> . 1935 C.D. 11. 45	secution as to the merits 3 O.G. 213.	is
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	, , ,		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	ion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from co	onsideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 4 and 8 is/are objected to.				
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election i	equirement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 <i>March 2002</i></u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			• •	
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a)[* S 13)∐ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	nents have been ents have been priority documoreau (PCT Rulant in its of the certinestic priority u	en received. En received in Application Ents have been received Ents 17.2(a)). Fied copies not received Enter 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	n No d in this National Stage l. t (to a provisional applica	ation)
37	nce a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language				ieet.
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom- ference was included in the first sentence of	nestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	and/or 121 since a specifi	ic 78
		or the specifica	aon or in an Application	Data Gridett, ST GFK 1.7	J.
Attachment					
2) 🔲 Notica	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(4) Interview Summary (f 5) Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	•

Application/Control Number: 10/010,167

Art Unit: 1636

In view of the confusion over the claims active in the present application, and in view of the fact that the claims intended by Applicants were filed earlier than the erroneously submitted claims examined in the previous Office Action, the present action is made **non-final**, in order to give Applicants a full chance to respond to the rejections set forth below.

Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,541,603, as follows: instant claims 1 and 2 over patented claim 1 and 2; and instant claims 3 and 5-7 over patented claim 3. An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting

Application/Control Number: 10/010,167

Art Unit: 1636

claims are not identical, but an examined application claim not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are generic to all that is recited in the respective claims of the patent, i.e., the patented claims fall entirely within the scope of each of instant claims 1-3 and 5-7.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The instant claims are drawn to synthetic peptides that act as genetic suppressor elements. However, the starting genetic material from which the nucleic acid encoding the peptide is obtained is not specified, other than being genomic or total cDNA. The claims are not limited to DNA fragments from a particular gene or mRNA transcript, and therefore encompass peptides not related to the target genes which happen to suppress said genes. There is no theory or algorithm in the prior art that would have allowed one of skill in the art to have correlated

reliably the structure of such a peptide with the function of suppression of the expression of the particular target. Thus, one of skill would not have known a priori the structures encompassed by the claim, possessing the recited functions, and as such, Applicants would not have conveyed to one of skill that they were in possession of the full scope of the claimed invention.

Certain papers related to this application, OTHER THAN OFFICIAL RESPONSES, may be submitted directly to the Examiner by facsimile transmission at (571) 273-0770. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(see 37 CFR ' 1.6(d)). (703) 872-9306 may be used without notification of the Examiner, with such faxed papers being handled in the manner of mailed responses. Applicant is encouraged to use the latter fax number unless immediate action by the Examiner is required, e.g., during discussions of claim language for allowable subject matter. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner with respect to the examination on the merits should be directed to James Ketter whose telephone number is (571) 272-0770. The Examiner normally can be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30), with alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, can be reached at (571) 272-0781.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Jsk January 20, 2004

> JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER